

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/050,614	03/30/1998	RICHARD BROWN	F-5231	7027
75	90 03/27/2002			
Bradford R.L. Price Baxter Healthcare Corporation Fenwal Division (RLP-30)			EXAMINER	
			SAVAGE, MATTHEW O	
P.O. Box 490, Route 120 and Wilson Road Round Lake, IL 60073		ad	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Roulla Lake, IL	00073		1723	

DATE MAILED: 03/27/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

			M	F-16	
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/050,614	BROWN ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Matthew O Savage	1723		
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	idress	
Period fo			MONTH(S) EDOM		
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failui - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF TH	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mayon. a reply within the statutory minimum of period will apply and will expire SIX (6) No statute, cause the application to become	r a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered time IONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	17 September 2001 .			
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice un			ne merits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims				
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1,2,4-20,22 and 23 is/are pendir	ng in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1,2,4-18,22 and</u>	23 is/are withdrawn from co	nsideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 19 and 20 is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers				
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner.			
•	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docur				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docur				
* S	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International see the attached detailed Office action for a</li> </ol>	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	)).	Stage	
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	mestic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	ıl application).	
	) ☐ The translation of the foreign languag Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do				
Attachment	r(s)				
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-944 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	8) 5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (P1		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/050,614

Art Unit: 1723

Applicant's election of group II in Paper No. 16 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown et al in view of Schroendorfer.

With respect to claim 19, Brown et al disclose an arcuate centrifuge channel defined between inner and outer walls 24, 26 (see FIG. 1), an elongated processing chamber 14 (see FIG. 3) having a dimension measured about the rotational axis that is larger than a dimension measured along the rotational axis, the processing chamber having flexibility (see line 46 of col. 3), and tubing 30 integrally connected to the processing container. Brown et al fail to specify the carrier. Schroendorfer discloses the concept of providing a carrier 10 for a flexible processing chamber 8 capable of functioning as recited in the claim and suggests that such a structure reduces the amount of tearing force applied to the weld securing together walls of the processing chamber (see lines 53-61 of col. 7). It would have been obvious to have modified the apparatus of Brown et al so as to have included a carrier as suggested by

Application/Control Number: 09/050,614

Art Unit: 1723

Schroendorfer in order to reduce the amount of tearing force applied to the weld

securing together walls of the processing chamber.

Regarding claim 20, Brown et al include an umbilicus (see lines 28-31 of col. 4).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Matthew O Savage whose telephone number is 703-

308-3854. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00am-3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Wanda W. Walker can be reached on 703-308-0457. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-

305-3602 for regular communications and 703-305-3599 for After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0661.

Matthew O Savage **Primary Examiner** 

Page 3

Art Unit 1723

mos

November 20, 2001